Case 4:22-cv-00361-SDJ Document 19 Filed 04/29/22 Page 1 of 4 PageID #: 114 Case 7:22-cv-01490-VB Document 18 Filed 04/29/22 Page 4 of 4

whome	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICAL!
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	DATE BULED
STP INVESTMENTS LLC n/k/a STP FLEX LLC, ELLIOT PRIGOZEN and RICHARD SHERIDAN, Plaintiffs, -against-	Case No.: 22-cv-01490 PROPOSITE ORDER TO TRANSFER VENUE TO EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
NEOTEK ENERGY, INC.,	
Defendant.	
The Court finds that venue would be proper in the Eastern District of Texas, that a transfer would serve the convenience of parties and witnesses, and that a transfer would promote the interest of justice. Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1404(a) and the stipulation of the parties, it is hereby	
ORDERED that this action is transferred to the Eastern District of Texas; and it is	e United States District Court for the
FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiffs' time to the motion to dismiss is adjourned to thirty days following the	
Upon entry of this Order, the Clerk of this Court so this Action to the United States District Court for the E proceedings, and shall close this Care	actern District of Texas for all further
Detail 4/2/2	PRDERED:

HON, VINCENT L. BRICCETTI UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Case 4:22-cv-00361-SDJ Document 19 Filed 04/29/22 Page 2 of 4 PageID #: 115 Case 7:22-cv-01490-VB Document 18 Filed 04/29/22 Page 1 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	
STP INVESTMENTS LLC n/k/a STP FLEX LLC, ELLIOT PRIGOZEN and RICHARD SHERIDAN,	Case No.: 22-cv-01490
Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER TO TRANSFER VENUE TO EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
NEOTEK ENERGY, INC.,	IEAAS
Defendant.	

Plaintiffs STP Investments LLC n/k/a STP Flex LLC, Elliot Prigozen and Richard Sheridan ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant NeoTek Energy, Inc. ("Defendant"), by their respective counsel, hereby stipulate and agree to transfer this action to the Eastern District of Texas, subject to the approval of the Court.

WHEREAS, this action was filed on February 23, 2022 asserting claims against Defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332; and

WHEREAS, on April 6, 2022, Defendant filed a motion to dismiss asserting this action should be dismissed because this Court purportedly lacks personal jurisdiction over Defendant and arguing this is an inappropriate forum; and

WHEREAS, on April 18, 2022, Plaintiffs filed a letter motion informing the Court that notwithstanding Plaintiffs' position that this Court has jurisdiction and that this is a proper forum, Plaintiffs will agree to transfer this action to the Eastern District of Texas; and

WHEREAS, on April 18, 2022, the Court entered an Order requiring that "By 5/2/2022, plaintiffs must submit on the ECF docket either (i) a letter responding to the Court's Order dated 4/7/2022 (Doc. #15), or (ii) a notice of dismissal without prejudice to refiling the complaint in

the agreed-upon forum, or (iii) a proposed stipulation and order transferring the case to the Eastern District of Texas. The parties' time to file opposing and reply papers is STAYED pending the plaintiffs' submission due 5/2/2022"; and

WHEREAS, Defendant NeoTek Energy, Inc. is located in the Eastern District of Texas and consents to transfer this action to the Eastern District of Texas;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, upon the foregoing recitals, as follows:

- 1. The parties are presenting a proposed order, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A", to the Court, regarding a transfer of this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).
- 2. This stipulation, and the accompanying proposed order, shall be without prejudice to all claims and defenses of the parties as they existed at the time of the filing of the action in this Court, with the exception of the defense of lack of personal jurisdiction over the Defendant by the District Court for the Eastern District of Texas, which is hereby stipulated as waived in this Action.
- 3. The parties agree that Defendant's arguments asserted in its pending motion to dismiss with respect to (a) lack of personal jurisdiction and (b) forum non conveniens will be most upon the transfer of this Action to the District Court for the Eastern District of Texas and that Plaintiffs' time to file opposition to Defendant's pending motion will be adjourned to thirty days following the date of the transfer Order.

Case 4:22-cv-00361-SDJ Document 19 Filed 04/29/22 Page 4 of 4 PageID #: 117 Case 7:22-cv-01490-VB Document 18 Filed 04/29/22 Page 3 of 4

Dated: April 29, 2022

MELTZER, LIPPE, GOLDSTEIN

& BREITSTONE, LLP

WEINER LAW GROUP LLP

By: /s/ Steven E. Frankel
Steven E. Frankel, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 190 Willis Avenue Mineola, New York 11501 (516) 747-0300 sfrankel@meltzerlippe.com By: /s/ Jay R. McDaniel
Jay R. McDaniel, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
629 Parsippany Road, P.O. Box 438
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054-0438
(973) 403-1100
jmcdaniel@weiner.law